TradingTech Insight
From A-TEAMGROUP

Electronification of European Fixed-Income Markets: How Participants Need to Up Their Tech Game

Commissioned by:

valantic

Table of Contents

Introduction

From slow beginnings in MiFID II's drive for transparency, structural change in Europe's government bond and credit markets is now gaining momentum, with major implications for practitioners in terms of trading practices and market opportunity. New entrants, with an emphasis on high-performance technology, are raising the bar and forcing established dealers to leverage new, more sophisticated e-trading tools in order to remain competitive.

The arrival of the NLPs suggests that electronification of European fixed-income markets is potentially going to take on a new look. But electronification is more than merely trading on a central limit order book (CLOB). It's about digitalisation and automation of the trading workflow, and how this can help firms streamline operations, get greater insight from their internal data and improved client outcomes through more accurate pricing.

The recent entry into the European fixed-income arena of Citadel and Jane Street – two of the largest and most successful US nonbank liquidity providers (NLPs) – is the clearest signal yet that change is under way. Not only are they bringing their high-frequency trading (HFT) technologies and values from US equity, FX and Fixed Income markets, but they are also looking at participation across the spectrum of European fixed-income markets: from real-time pricing of highly liquid securities to block trading of less liquid instruments.

Lessons can be learned from the US. There, the emergence of NLPs has significantly altered the liquidity landscape, particularly in highly liquid segments like benchmark government debt. In Europe, much of the action so far has focused on credit markets, and the rise of exchange traded funds (ETFs) and correlation with credit portfolio trading, however some NLPs recently became European government bond market makers with one becoming a primary dealer in German debt.

What's clear now, though, is that it's critical that European bond trading firms get their operational and technological houses in order if they are to compete in the emerging environment.

In Europe, the early impetus for this structural change in bond markets originated with the EU's MiFID II regulation, which sought to improve transparency in traditionally over-the-counter (OTC)

markets. MiFID II drove bond markets to adopt core characteristics previously associated with electronic equities and foreign exchange (FX) markets, such as the mandated capture and time-stamping of all orders, quotes, and trades, essential for best execution verification and record-keeping.

This regulatory-driven structural change – combined with the arrival of NLPs – is forcing institutional participants to seek technological solutions to navigate a market landscape that many practitioners now acknowledge is beginning to resemble the structures seen in electrified asset classes such as equities and FX. This necessitates a fundamental re-evaluation of technology strategy by institutional firms that must find efficient ways to source liquidity and manage the resulting data deluge.

The European Experience

It is important to qualify the general scope of fixed-income electronification. To date, the trend has been most pronounced and effective within highly liquid, standardised instruments, such as on-the-run US Treasury securities, which represent the market segment most analogous to European benchmark government debt. In these liquid segments, electronic platforms now dominate the inter-dealer broker (IDB) market, and dealer-to-client (D2C) spaces are characterised by multiple platforms supporting electronic execution models like the request-for-quote (RFQ).

However, the electronification wave has not been universally effective across all fixed-income products. During periods of extreme volatility, such as the early stages of the pandemic, liquidity breakdowns were observed in certain markets, exemplified by European corporate bonds. In that segment, traders often reverted to 'high-touch methods' typically involving the phone, to connect with dealers.

Recent years have witnessed the exponential growth of ETFs in the European credit markets, transforming how investors approach portfolio management and trading strategies. The primary driver of ETF growth is their inherent liquidity and transparency. ETFs are traded on exchanges throughout the trading day, which has attracted a broader range of investors, including the NLPs, who value the flexibility and accessibility that they offer.

Moreover, the diversification benefits of credit ETFs have made them an attractive option for buy-side portfolio managers. By providing exposure to a wide array of credit instruments – ranging from investment-grade bonds to high-yield assets – ETFs offer investors instant diversification. This is particularly valuable in the volatile European credit markets, where individual bond performance can vary significantly due to macroeconomic factors and issuer-specific risks.

The growth of ETFs has enhanced price discovery in the European credit markets. As these funds attract capital, they often lead to increased trading volumes and tighter spreads for the underlying securities. This phenomenon ultimately enhances market efficiency, allowing credit portfolio managers to execute trades at more favourable prices.

What's more, the growing use of passive investment strategies, itself driven by the popularity of ETFs, has influenced approaches to credit portfolio trading. Many investors now allocate capital based on benchmark indices that ETFs track, leading to a more systematic approach to credit investing, with wide-ranging implications for existing dealers and other credit market participants, particularly with respect to efficiency, transparency, and liquidity provision through adoption of sophisticated technologies.

The NLPs come to Europe

The arrival of sophisticated NLPs like Citadel and Jane Street is certain to accelerate the shift to electronification in European bond and credit markets. Both are already highly active in the liquid segments of US Treasuries, utilising the interdealer market to access liquidity. Their expansion into Europe is anticipated to exert several profound effects on the European bond market-making landscape:

1. **Increased Liquidity and Efficiency:** NLPs are known for their advanced technology, quantitative strategies, and high-frequency trading capabilities. Their ability to trade large volumes efficiently is expected to enhance overall liquidity, narrowing bid-ask spreads and simplifying trade execution, even in less liquid sectors like credit. Their use of data and algorithms will streamline trading, improve price discovery, and facilitate faster execution.

2. **Heightened Competition and Market Structure Shifts:** The NLPs' entry into the European arena will intensify competition among existing market-makers, potentially driving innovation and further reducing transaction costs. However, this competition may place significant pressure on smaller market participants lacking comparable technological capabilities or liquidity reserves. Their presence will also influence the overall market structure, potentially driving consolidation and undeniably accelerating the trend away from traditional voice-brokered transactions toward electronification.

3. **Transparency and Risk:** The NLPs' emphasis on transparency in pricing and trading could establish a new market standard, prompting other firms to adopt similar practices, thereby enhancing market visibility and fairness. Furthermore, their advanced risk management models may alter the risk premiums and pricing dynamics, especially during volatile market conditions.

4. **Impact on Firms' Tech Requirements:** The fragmentation and complexity introduced by electronification impose substantial new technology requirements on existing institutional market participants. Firms must adapt to the new reality where fragmented liquidity is seen as an opportunity that can only be harnessed through state-of-the-art systems.

First, there is a fundamental demand for systems that facilitate connectivity and market access. Firms require flexible solutions to connect to the increasingly complex market structure, encompassing multiple dealer platforms, single-dealer platforms (SDPs), and direct streaming connections.

Second, the huge rise in data volume, stemming from the multiple sources in this fragmented market, requires robust data aggregation and analytic tools. This data, often poorly structured and of variable quality, must be processed to help navigate market complexity, improve analytics, build accurate yield curves, and achieve faster bond pricing. Firms are increasingly adopting cloud-based pricing and quantitative analysis applications to address this need.

Third, institutional traders require modern technologies to navigate this new landscape. These include:

- **Aggregation:** Tools to consolidate pricing and liquidity from disparate venues.
- **Smart Order Entry (SOE) / Smart Order Routing (SOR):** Sophisticated systems capable of routing orders to specific venues and making intelligent pre-trade decisions.
- **Spreading Capabilities:** Tools that enable relative value trading by managing complex composite trades across multiple instruments (e.g., bonds and derivatives, ETFs and credit securities) and execution venues.

Finally, the need for increased transparency and accountability drives the requirement for transaction cost analysis (TCA) style performance measurement. These tools, traditionally linked to equities, are now necessary to inform trading decisions, measure performance, and prove best execution in the more electronic bond markets.

Budget constraints and cultural resistance, however, remain significant hurdles to adopting these sophisticated, high-performance systems.

The electronification process has fundamentally reshaped the fixed-income market structure, transitioning it from a high-touch, OTC environment to a fragmented, electronically dominated landscape. Key structural impacts include:

- Liquidity Fragmentation: The proliferation of competing multi-dealer platforms, the growing sophistication of SDPs, and the widespread adoption of bilateral streaming connections for RFQs have shattered the traditional concentration of liquidity.

- Rise of NLPs and Sophisticated Dealers: The entry of NLPs (like Citadel and Jane Street) has seen a major structural shift, importing practices from faster markets. Already established dealers have jumped on the bandwagon, adopting similar high-tech, proprietary approaches to risk and liquidity management.

- Shift to Electronic Execution Models: The D2C space is increasingly defined by electronic execution, notably the RFQ model, replacing traditional voice execution for liquid instruments.

- Consolidation and Compliance: Citadel 'and Jane Street's impending arrival in Europe is predicted to drive further consolidation among market participants. This is reinforced by MiFID II, which mandated greater transparency and structure, compelling bond markets to absorb characteristics of electronic markets.

Lessons Learned from Equities HFT

The evolution of US Treasuries, which provides the technological blueprint for Europe, draws heavily on lessons and practices pioneered by HFTs in equities. The HFT firms applied high speed and quantitative models to generate profits from equities trading, and are now deploying these practices in liquid fixed income.

A core technological lesson is the viability of handling smaller, more granular trade sizes efficiently. While interdealer brokers often handle lots of $1 million or more, the buy-side client marketplace may involve trades as small as $1,000 in value. NLPs can manage the risk associated with these smaller trades—which are risky due to tail exposures—by leveraging more granular pricing.

Supporting smaller price increments helps reduce risk for liquidity providers and facilitates the offering of tighter spreads. This ability to efficiently process high volumes of small, price-granular trades is a hallmark of electrified equities markets and is now becoming essential for firms operating in highly liquid bond segments.

Potential for Consolidated tape

The current market structure is characterised by fragmentation, which results in a huge volume of data flooding the marketplace. This data is often poorly structured and of variable quality, particularly concerning less liquid securities. Critically, the market currently lacks standardised protocols required for tasks like identifying dealers, performing TCA, or proving best execution.

These challenges – poor data quality, lack of standardisation, and difficulty in aggregating data from multiple electronic and non-electronic sources to achieve faster pricing and liquidity measurement – point directly to the need for a solution that addresses these data integrity and standardisation deficits.

Given that the electronification is driven by transparency regulations like MiFID II, a comprehensive, regulated data feed structure is a necessary technological requirement for market fairness and operational efficiency. While efforts to establish a European consolidated tape feed are under way, legal issues are holding up progress, making implementation before 2027 unlikely.

What's Next?

The ongoing electronification of European bond and credit markets, the explosion in ETF trading and the arrival of sophisticated NLPs means that the fixed-income markets are starting to look a lot more like equities markets in terms of transparency, and fragmentation of liquidity. If traditional players want to keep up, they need to up their game with respect to the tech stack they employ for trading.

Key considerations:

1. Review where you participate in the market, in terms of the spectrum of highly liquid Treasuries, to ETFs, to highly illiquid corporate bonds. Identify where you have an edge: Perhaps it's at the less liquid end of the spectrum.

2. There's a difference between electronification of bond markets – executing against a central limit order book – and digitalisation and automation. Practitioners are automating RFQs and client order handling, but need to automate work flows to keep up.

3. There's an opportunity for participants to benefit from digitising trading activity from non-electronic trading channels (e.g. voice, chats) and leverage data to provide clients with more competitive pricing. Being able to automate manual processes can yield intelligence on trading and help price accurately through the data that becomes available.

Introducing valantic FSA

valantic FSA operates at the heart of the financial industry, enabling their customers to create powerful electronic trading, transaction and core banking systems. The result is reduced complexity, increased efficiency and lower total cost of ownership.

Demands for higher efficiency and better client service are driving the adoption of automation in capital markets. At the same time, electronification of liquidity access is growing constantly across all asset classes.

valantic FSA provides powerful electronic trading solutions that enable firms to lower the cost of participation in markets while embracing fully the electronic demands of their customers. This is backed by a track record of innovation and our commitment to long-standing and continued partnerships.

About valantic FSA

valantic FSA automates the trading and transaction workflows at more than 100 firms in the Financial Services industry. Our mission: digitizing and enhancing value streams to boost efficiency, insight, and agility. With deep industry expertise, we build solutions using proven components and next-gen technologies.

Find out more: valantic.com

A-TEAMGROUP

For Marketing: **www.a-teamgroup.com**

For Content: **www.a-teaminsight.com**